LETTERS

Silver-Mediated N-Trifluoromethylation of Sulfoximines

Fan Teng,[†] Jiang Cheng,^{*,†,‡} and Carsten Bolm^{*,‡}

[†]School of Petrochemical Engineering, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials & Technology, Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Fine Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, P. R. China

[‡]Institute of Organic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Landoltweg 1, Aachen 52056, Germany

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An unprecedented approach to *N*-trifluoromethylations of electron-rich nucleophilic sites following a radical pathway is reported. Accordingly, various sulfoximines (19 examples) have been *N*-trifluoromethylated, providing previously unreported products with satisfying functionality



tolerance in moderate to good yields. With a C–N bond length at the N–CF₃ moiety of 1.341 Å the respective linkage is shorter than a traditional C–N single bond and comparable with that of a C–N double bond.

T he incorporation of a trifluoromethyl group into an organic compound can significantly alter the properties of the molecule related to, for example, lipophilicity, metabolic stability, and conformational behavior.¹ In sharp contrast to the well-developed C-trifluoromethylations,² the formation of N-CF₃ bonds is still challenging, commonly involving a critical use of toxic reagents to be applied under harsh reaction conditions.³ Undoubtedly, a direct N-trifluoromethylation with a commercially available, harmless trifluoromethylating agent would be highly desirable.⁴

For direct electrophilic *N*-trifluoromethylations (with the nitrogen atom being a hard nucleophile), only a few methods are known (Scheme 1, path a). Those include, for example, the





approach by Umemoto, who applied in situ generated CF_3 cations for trifluoromethylations of amines.⁵ In addition, Togni's *N*-trifluoromethylations of nitriles⁶ and azoles⁷ with hypervalent iodine reagents belong to this category. Alternatively, *N*-trifluoromethylation reactions could be envisioned proceeding via nucleophilic (Scheme 1, path b)⁸ or radical pathways (Scheme 1, path c). Here, we exemplify the latter approach and report on an unprecedented silver catalysis allowing *N*-trifluoromethylations of sulfoximines leading to previously inaccessible products.

Sulfoximines have been applied in asymmetric synthesis⁹ and directed C–H functionalizations.¹⁰ Furthermore, some derivatives exhibit interesting bioactivities.¹¹ In all cases, fluorinated sulfoximines have attracted particular attention.¹²

For our search of a new N-trifluoromethylation method, we chose a combination of S,S-diphenylsulfoximine (11) as a representative model substrate and Me₃SiCF₃ as trifluoromethylation agent. While both compounds did not react in the absence of a metallic activator (Table 1, entry 1), a smooth coupling occurred when the reagents were treated with a mixture of AgOAc (0.2 equiv) and 1,10-phenanthroline (L1, 0.4 equiv) in 1,4-dioxane at 50 °C under dioxygen. As a result, N-trifluoromethyl sulfoximine 21 was obtained in 43% yield (Table 1, entry 2). Substituting AgOAc by AgCl did not lead to 21 (Table 1, entry 3). When Ag₂O or AgF was applied as metallic catalyst component the yield of 2l increased to 60% and 63%, respectively (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). The highest yield of **2l** (71%) was observed with Ag_2CO_3 (Table 1, entry 6). Varying the reaction temperature in catalyzes with this silver salt showed that 60 °C was better than 50 or 100 °C, allowing us to isolate 21 in 85% yield (Table 1, entry 6). Using air or dinitrogen instead of dioxgyen affected the yield of 2l negatively (Table 1, entry 6). Until then, only phenanthroline (L1) had been applied as ligand. Substituting L1 in reactions with Ag_2CO_3 by DABCO (L2), neocuproine (L3), or 2,2'bipyridine (L4) led to catalytically inactive systems (Table 1, entries 7-9). The essential role of L1 was also revealed in an experiment performed in the absence of the ligand, which showed no product formation (Table 1, entry 10). Testing solvents other than 1,4-dioxane (Table 1, entries 11-14) proved the superiority of the latter. Attempts to decrease the catalyst loading remained unsuccessful, and the formation of a silver mirror or a black precipitate was observed.

 Received:
 May 26, 2015

 Published:
 June 9, 2015

Table 1. Opimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

		+ Me;	A 3SICF3 ———	ig catalyst igand solvent
entry	catalyst	ligand	solvent	yield (%)
1		L1	1,4-dioxane	<1
2	AgOAc	L1	1,4-dioxane	43
3	AgCl	L1	1,4-dioxane	<1
4	Ag ₂ O	L1	1,4-dioxane	60
5	AgF	L1	1,4-dioxane	63
6	Ag ₂ CO ₃	L1	1,4-dioxane	71 (67), ^b (85), ^c (29), ^d (<1) ^e
7	Ag_2CO_3	L2	1,4-dioxane	<1
8	Ag_2CO_3	L3	1,4-dioxane	<5
9	Ag_2CO_3	L4	1,4-dioxane	<1
10	Ag_2CO_3		1,4-dioxane	<1
11	Ag_2CO_3	L1	DCE	11
12	Ag_2CO_3	L1	MeCN	8
13	Ag ₂ CO ₃	L1	THF	<5
14	Ag ₂ CO ₃	L1	toluene	<1

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: sulfoximine **11** (0.1 mmol), Me₃SiCF₃ (0.5 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol), ligand (0.04 mmol), and solvent (2.0 mL) at 50 °C under O_2 for 12 h, sealed tube. ^{*b*}100 °C. ^{*c*}60 °C. ^{*d*}Under air. ^{*c*}Under N₂.



The molecular structure of **2l** in the solid state was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis (Figure 1).¹³ Interestingly,



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure (ORTEP) of product 2l.

the length of the newly formed C–N bond was only 1.341 Å, which was significantly shorter than a traditional C–N single bond but compared well to the bond length of a C–N double bond.¹⁴

Next, the substrate scope and the limitations of the catalyzed coupling were investigated (Figure 2). Pleasingly, the functional group tolerance was high, and a wide range of products was accessible. In couplings of S-aryl-S-methyl sulfoximines, the respective products (2a-i) were obtained in yields ranging from 51% (for 2h) to 78% (for 2c). Stereoelectronic effects appeared to be of minor relevance. Alternations of the S-alkyl substituent (from methyl to butyl and cyclohexyl) had no significant impact on the product yields as revealed by



Figure 2. Substrate scope. Reaction conditions: sulfoximine (0.1 mmol), Me₃SiCF₃ (0.5 mmol), Ag₂CO₃ (0.02 mmol), 1,10-phen (0.04 mmol), and 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) at 60 $^{\circ}$ C under O₂ for 12 h in a sealed tube.

comparing the data for 2e and 2j (60% versus 59%) as well as 2a and 2k (75% versus 80%). In the series of *S*,*S*-diaryl sulfoximines, the yields of the corresponding products (2l-q)ranged from 63% (for 2o) to 85% (for 2l). Again, electronic effects were irrelevant. Considering the substitution pattern of various bioactive substrates,¹¹ the *N*-trifluoromethylations of *S*benzyl-substituted sulfoximines 1r and 1s were of particular interest. Pleasingly, good results were obtained in both cases with yields of 72% and 58% for the corresponding products 2r and 2s, respectively. Finally, the conversion of benzophenone imine was attempted, and to our delight, the corresponding *N*trifluoromethyl derivative 2t was obtained in 33% yield.¹⁵

To demonstrate the practicality of the newly developed method, a 2 mmol scale reaction with 11 as substrate was conducted, leading to the formation of 21 in 73% yield.

To gain a better understanding of the reaction principles, selected mechanistic studies were conducted. Adding the radical scavengers TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxyl) or galvinoxyl free radical [2,6-di-*tert*-butyl- α -(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadien-1-ylidene)-*p*-tolyloxy] inhibited the reaction, and the corresponding CF₃ adducts **3** and **4** were detected by GC–MS (Scheme 2). Consequently, we presume trifluoromethyl radicals to be relevant intermediates, which in this case have been trapped by the radical scavengers.

Further studies with TEMPO (Table 2) revealed that both Ag_2CO_3 and 1,10-phenanthroline were essential for the in situ formation of the trifluoromethyl radical. If one of the reagents was missing, CF_3 addition product 3 could not be found.

Scheme 2. Radical-Trapping Experiments



Table 2. Detection of the Trifluoromethyl Radical Adduct to TEMPO 3^a

entry	sulfoximine	Me ₃ SiCF ₃	Ag_2CO_3	1,10-phen	results
1	×				+
2		×		\checkmark	-
3		\checkmark	×	\checkmark	-
4		\checkmark		×	-
5	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	+

"Performed under standard conditions. For details, see the Supporting Information. "+" means positive result; adduct 3 was formed. "'-"" means negative result; adduct 3 remained undetected.

Based on these results, we suggest a mechanistic scenario as outlined in Scheme 3.¹⁶ In the presence of the sulfoximine and

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism



the ligand, the silver(I) cation is oxidized by dioxygen to a silver(II) species (5).¹⁷ An in situ formed chelate-stabilized AgCF₃ complex produces the detected trifluoromethyl radical and a Ag(0) species, which is oxidized to Ag(I) reentering the catalytic cycle.¹⁸ The CF₃ radical reacts with 5 to give Ag(III) intermediate 6.¹⁹ Reductive elimination of 6^{20} provides product 2 and regenerates the silver(I) cation closing the catalytic cycle.

In conclusion, we have developed a new *N*-trifluoromethylation method providing unprecedented sulfoximine derivatives with good functional group tolerance. Important features of the process are (1) the establishment of a radical process and (2) the use of catalytic quantities of silver carbonate as inexpensive promotor functioning under base-free conditions. Synthetic extensions of this strategy are currently under investigation in our laboratories.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures along with copies of spectra. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01537.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: jiangcheng@cczu.edu.cn.

*E-mail: carsten.bolm@oc.rwth-aachen.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21272028), "Innovation & Entrepreneur-ship Talents" Introduction Plan of Jiangsu Province, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Catalytic Materials & Technology (BM2012110), Qin Lan Project of Jiangsu Province, and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD) for financial support. J.C. acknowledges support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) O'Hagan, D.; Rzepa, H. S. Chem. Commun. 1997, 645.
 (b) Hagmann, W. K. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359.
 (c) Müller, K.; Faeh, C.; Diederich, F. Science 2007, 317, 1881.
 (d) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320.

(2) (a) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (b) Besset, T.; Schneider, C.; Cahard, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5048.

(3) (a) Dmowski, W.; Kamiński, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1983, 23, 207.
(b) Kuroboshi, M.; Hiyama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 4177.
(c) Markovskij, L. N.; Pashinnik, V. E.; Kirsanov, A. V. Synthesis 1973, 787.
(d) Klauke, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 848.
(e) Abe, T.; Hayashi, E.; Baba, H.; Fukaya, H. J. Fluorine Chem. 1990, 48, 257.
(f) Pawelke, G. J. Fluorine Chem. 1991, 52, 229.

(4) For examples of trifluoromethylations via electrophilic, nucleophilic, and radical pathways, see: (a) Alonso, C.; de Marigorta, E. M.; Rubiales, G.; Palacios, F. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1847. (b) Barata-Vallejo, S.; Lantano, B.; Postigo, A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2014, 20, 16806. (c) Zhu, W.; Wang, J.; Wang, S.; Gu, Z.; Acena, J. L.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H.; Soloshonok, V. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 167, 37. (d) Yang, X.; Wu, T.; Phipps, R. J.; Toste, F. D. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 826. (e) Charpentier, J.; Fruh, N.; Togni, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650. (f) Estibaliz, M.; Cristina, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598. (g) Xu, X.-H.; Matsuzaki, K.; Shibata, N. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 731. (h) Zhang, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2895. (i) Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8294. (j) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Acc. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 683.

(5) Umemoto, T.; Adachi, K.; Ishihara, S. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6905.

(6) (a) Niedermann, K.; Früh, N.; Vinogradova, E.; Wiehn, M. S.; Moreno, A.; Togni, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1059. (b) For a computational study on such reagents, see: Sala, O.; Lüthi, H. P.; Togni, A.; Iannuzzi, M.; Hutter, J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2015**, *36*, 785.

(7) Niedermann, K.; Früh, N.; Senn, R.; Czarniecki, B.; Verel, R.; Togni, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, *51*, 6511.

(8) For a recent overview on the trifluoromethanide anion, see: Santschi, N.; Gilmour, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11414.

(9) (a) Johnson, C. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 341. (b) Reggelin, M.;
Zur, C. Synthesis 2000, 1. (c) Harmata, M. Chemtracts 2003, 16, 660.
(d) Gais, H.-J. Heteroatom Chem. 2007, 18, 472. (e) Craig, D.;
Grellepois, F.; White, A. J. P. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6827.
(f) Okamura, H.; Bolm, C. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 482. (g) See also:
Bizet, V.; Hendriks, C. M. M.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3378.

(10) (a) Yadav, M. R.; Rit, R. K.; Shankar, M.; Sahoo, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 6123. (b) Yadav, M. R.; Rit, R. K.; Sahoo, A. K. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5541. (c) Yadav, M. R.; Rit, R. K.; Sahoo, A. K. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1638. (d) Rit, R. K.; Yadav, M. R.; Sahoo, A. K.

Organic Letters

Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 968. (e) Dong, W.; Wang, L.; Parthasarathy, K.; Pan, F.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11573. (f) Parthasarathy, K.; Bolm, C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 4896. (g) Dong, W.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, Y.; Pan, F.; Bolm, C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 15732. (h) Cheng, Y.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201501583.

(11) (a) Walker, D. P.; Zawistoski, M. P.; McGlynn, M. A.; Kung, D. W.; Bonnette, P. C.; Baumann, A.; Buckbinder, L.; Houser, J. A.; Boer, J.; Mistry, A.; Han, S.; Xing, L.; Guzman-Perez, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2009, 19, 3253. (b) Zhu, Y.; Loso, M. R.; Watson, G. B.; Sparks, T. C.; Rogers, R. B.; Huang, J. X.; Gerwick, B. C.; Babcock, J. M.; Kelley, D.; Hegde, V. B.; Nugent, B. M.; Renga, J. M.; Denholm, I.; Gorman, K.; DeBoer, G. J.; Hasler, J.; Meade, T.; Thomas, J. D. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 2950. (c) Park, S. J.; Buschmann, H.; Bolm, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 4888. (d) Chen, X. Y.; Park, S. J.; Buschmann, H.; De Rosa, M.; Bolm, C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2012, 22, 4307. (e) Sparks, T. C.; Watson, G. B.; Loso, M. R.; Geng, C.; Babcock, J. M.; Thomas, J. D. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 2013, 107, 1. (f) Park, S. J.; Baars, H.; Mersmann, S.; Buschmann, H.; Baron, J. M.; Amann, P. M.; Czaja, K.; Hollert, H.; Bluhm, K.; Redelstein, R.; Bolm, C. ChemMedChem 2013, 8, 217. (g) Lücking, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9399.

(12) (a) Bizet, V.; Kowalczyk, R.; Bolm, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 2426. (b) Shen, X.; Hu, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 4437. (c) For N-trifluoromethylthiolations of sulfoximines, see: Bohnen, C.; Bolm, C. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01384.

(13) The molecular structure of **2l** was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. CCDC 1056841 (**2l**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(14) Liu, J.-F.; Xian, H.-D.; Li, H.-Q.; Zhao, G.-L. Z. Kristallogr.-New Cryst. Struct. 2009, 224, 69. (b) Presti, L. L.; Orlando, A. M.; Loconte, L.; Destro, R.; Ortoleva, E.; Soave, R.; Gatti, C. Cryst. Growth Des. 2014, 14, 4418. (c) Peter, M. K.; Luc, V. M. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online 2010, 66, 2353. (d) Zhu, H.-Y.; Guo, Y.-N.; Yang, D.-S. Z. Kristallogr.-New Cryst. Struct. 2010, 225, 359.

(15) For examples of highly fluorinated imine-type products with N–CF₃ groups, see: (a) Ogden, P. H.; Mitsch, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1967**, 89, 5007 [bis(trifluoromethyl)carbodiimides such as F₃CN=C=NCF₃]. (b) Abe, T.; Shreeve, J. Inorg. Chem. **1981**, 20, 2894 [sulfilimines such as $(F_3C)_2S$ =NCF(CF₃)₂].

(16) For a general overview on the use of silver in organic chemistry, see: *Silver in Organic Chemistry*; Harmata, M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2010.

(17) Ag(0) complexes can also be oxidized by dioxygen. For examples, see: (a) Moore, W. M.; Codella, P. J. J. Phys. Chem. **1988**, 92, 4421. (b) Maji, A.; Hazra, A.; Maiti, D. Org. Lett. **2014**, 16, 4524.

(18) For additional examples of silver-mediated reactions involving CF₃ radicals, see: (a) Ye, Y.; Lee, S. H.; Sanford, M. S. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 5464. (b) Wu, X.; Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 2198. (c) Wang, Y.-F.; Qiu, J.; Kong, D.; Chen, F.-X. Synlett **2014**, 25, 1731. (d) Wang, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Synthesis **2014**, 46, 2143.

(19) For reactions involving Ag(III), see: (a) Li, Z.; Wang, Z.; Zhu, L.; Tan, X.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 16439. (b) Zhao, H.; Fan, X.; Yu, J.; Zhu, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 3490. (c) Zhang, C.; Li, Z.; Zhu, L.; Yu, L.; Wang, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 14802.

(20) For a suggested reductive elimination of Ag(III) intermediates, see: Yang, M.; Su, B.; Wang, Y.; Chen, K.; Jiang, X.; Zhang, Y.-F.; Zhang, X.-S.; Chen, G.; Cheng, Y.; Cao, Z.; Guo, Q.-Y.; Wang, L.; Shi, Z.-J. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4707.